

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/891,359 06/27/2001 Sang Seo 0630-1278P 2387 2292 **EXAMINER** 7590 02/24/2005 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH CHO, HONG SOL **PO BOX 747** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 2662

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(gK		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/891,359	SEO, SANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hong Cho	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Matute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicati	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2001</u> is/are:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		5 4 4 6 4 4 10
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have bee	n received in this National	Stage
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	ot received.	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: _____.

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Re claim 16, the claim is not clear to the Examiner. For the purpose of the examination, the claim is interpreted to mean that other appliances will function as a server like the first appliance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102(e) that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al (U.S 6198479), hereinafter referred to as Humpleman.

Re claims 1, 9 and 10, Humpleman discloses providing an Internet service in a non-IP based network comprising a first server (*home device*, elements 102, 108 and 110, figure 14) connected to a non-IP based network (*home network*, column 4, lines 40-42) and

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359

Art Unit: 2662

having an application program as installed and a second server (*Internet proxy*, element 1104, figure 14) connected to a non-IP network (*home network*) and an IP based network (*Internet*) and having the application program as installed so that a service corresponding to an Internet service request is provided to the Internet according to the Internet service request received from the Internet (column 20, lines 53-62).

Page 3

Re claim 2, Humpleman discloses HAVi (Home Audio/Video interoperability) home network (column 4, lines 36-37).

Re claim 3, Humpleman discloses transmitting Internet service in a digital format or a Web document format (column 4, lines 15-19).

Re claim 4, Humpleman discloses accessing and controlling home devices through Internet service (the Internet service is accessed from the Internet to the first and second server to control the first and the second servers, column 20, lines 53-62).

Re claim 5, Humpleman discloses a layered interface model that can be used to for communicating between home devices and providing an Internet service through Internet proxy (figures 2 and 14).

Re claims 6 and 7, Humpleman discloses each home device containing interface data (column 4, lines 15-18).

Re claim 8, Humpleman discloses a layered interface model that can be used to for communicating between home devices and providing an Internet service through Internet proxy (figure 2).

Re claims 12 and 13, Humpleman discloses providing an Internet service in a non-IP based network comprising a first appliance (*Internet proxy*, element 1104, figure 14) for controlling and communicating the non-IP based network with the Internet (*home network*, column 4, lines 40-42) and a second appliance which is connected with the first appliance for providing the Internet service to an appliance connected with the Internet via the first appliance when the Internet service is requested by the appliance connected to the Internet via the first appliance (column 20, lines 53-62).

Re claims 14-16, Humpleman discloses each home network device functioning as a server for providing its own information (figure 3a; column 7, lines 13-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 11 is are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman in view of Gupta et al (U.S 5864542), hereinafter referred to as Gupta.
 - Re claim 11, Humpleman does not teach the server determining if the requested Internet service is a service to be provided by itself and not, then the server transmits an Internet

Application/Control Number: 09/891,359 Page 5

Art Unit: 2662

service start signal to the first server connected to the non-IP based network to provide the Internet service. However, Gupta discloses a system where the Data Access Server receives a command requesting specific information, and if the information is locally present, the server will retrieve the information and report to the requesting process. If the information is not locally available, the server determines where the information resides, and automatically establishes a logical connection to the information source. The server then invokes proper procedure, protocol, and messages to collect the information, and presents the data to the calling process in the same way it presents the local information (column 33, lines 4-13). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement relational database system of Gupta into Humpleman to provide requested services through a distributed network resources for multimedia application support.

Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - US Patent (5861906) to Dunn et al. discloses interactive entertainment network
 system and customizing operation according to viewer preferences
 - US Patent (6850149) to Park discloses network control system for home appliance
 - US Patent (6121593) to Mansbery et al. discloses home appliances controlled from a remote location

Page 6

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Hong Cho Patent Examiner 2-10-2005

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).